



Naturalistic pantheism

3 August 2017 ·

A new concept of Divinity

I don't have anything against God, far from it. I have always admired His works and His creation. But I realize that there are people who for centuries continue to speak on His behalf, doing irreparable damage to our brains and our consciences. The time has come to say how things are. The God we perceive is the logical intelligence that helps us solve the problems of physics. A mathematical God who always remains hidden and has never spoken, especially in public, but whose existence is deduced from His works. It is he who directs the becoming and events with algorithms that are still unknown.

My question to my theologian friend was: "If we eliminate the personal God, what remains?"

A mathematical God who never killed anyone with a logarithm or a square root?

Enough for me, since am I happy to admire His works, but to the rest of Humanity? "

And the theologian had answered me: "God is not in crisis, but" theism ", that is the traditional representation of God, on the basis of which our imperfect world depends on another perfect and eternal world from which a God with anthropomorphic features governs us and our world. The theistic God is disappearing from our view, pushed out by every new scientific discovery. But if

theism dies, God does not necessarily have to die too. These ideas, which I share, are by Spong (who you know), and of which I report the first (of twelve) theses: "Theism as a way of defining God is dead. We can no longer perceive God as a supernatural being, ready to intervene in human history to fulfill His will. We must find a new way of conceptualizing God and talking about Him." But human spirituality does not die, which has ample space for a future full of extraordinary possibilities. We expect "a religion without truth, without doctrines, without dogmas, reduced to its essence" (J.M. Vigil).

Aware of the tendency that is unfolding inexorably in our day among the various honest Theologians, among whom I include John Spong, Roger Lenaers and Vito Mancuso, that is the drift towards Pantheism, I too became convinced that the God of Spinoza and Einstein describes well the new modern concept of divinity that our great Master Galileo, had written:

"Philosophy is written in this great Book that continually is open to us before our eyes, the Universe, and cannot be understood without first learning to understand its language, to know the characters in which it is written. It is written in the language of the mathematician and the characters are triangles, circles, and other geometric figures "

By this I do not mean to completely abandon the liturgy, prayer, reading of the sacred texts, which are part of the history of humanity and of the spiritual baggage of all of us. Spirituality remains and is alive and well.

Continue to go to Church, Synagogue, Mosque and above all continue to read Job, Ecclesiastes, Genesis, the Gospel according to John, the letters of Saint Paul or the Surah of the Fig (written in Mecca by Mohammed, Allah bless him and give him peace). In those pages is documented the moving attempt of man to approach God, the moving story of his search for truth.

But don't put strange words in the mouth of God, because He never said them. Stop telling God what to do, because He knows very well what to do. Admire His Creation and praise him as Saint Francis did with his Canticle of Creatures.

My conclusion (and perhaps I'm wrong) is that if we add to Spinoza, Einstein and Galileo, Darwin too, we can say:

"God is the good mathematical law that created and continues to create the World."

(Track modified by the book: The Adventures of the Cabalist Leon)